

## 9: Exponential Functions -- Answers

1. \$33,554,432
2. The both have constant *rates*. Linear functions have a constant *rate of change* (the same number is added to outputs of successive inputs). Exponential functions have a constant *growth factor* (the same number is multiplied by outputs of successive inputs).
3.
  - a)  $a = 5, b = 1$
  - b)  $a = \frac{1}{2}, b = 3$
  - c)  $a = 3, b = 2$
  - d)  $a = 6, b = 1$
4. If the population is decaying,  $0 < a < 1$ .
5.
  - a) His 20<sup>th</sup>.
  - b) \$134,217,728
6. Since  $f(x) = a^x$  is increasing on the whole domain,  $a > 1$ .
7.  $f(x) = 1.5(4)^x$
8. Since  $(0,1)$  is the y-intercept,  $b = 1$ .
9.
  - a) Yes; this equation is equivalent to  $y = \frac{1}{2}(4^x)$ .
  - b) Yes;  $f(x+1)/f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$  for any value in the table. Thus this data fits the equation  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ .
  - c) No, the constant rate of change tells us that this is a linear function,  $f(x) = 0.10x$ .
10.
  - a) Since the price is decreasing,  $a < 1$ . In other words, the output is decaying.
  - b) Answers will vary depending how this equation is found. Using the price in 1998 for the y-intercept and the averages of  $f(x+1)/f(x)$ , we get  $p(t) = 600(0.74^t)$ . Using exponential regression we get  $p(t) = 570.7(0.733^t)$
  - c) Using our first equation from part (b) we get  $p(2) = \$329$ , which is a \$34 difference from the actual price in the table –so, a fairly good match.
  - d) Using our first equation from part (b) we get  $p(22) = \$0.80$  – way too low! This function models the price only for a limited domain.
11. \$20,701
12.
  - a)  $\frac{5}{64}$
  - b) 1.25
  - c) 5
  - d) 327,680
13.
  - a) Yes;  $f(x) = 9(3^x)$
  - b) Yes;  $f(x) = \sqrt{2^x}$
  - c) No;  $f(0) = 0$
  - d) Yes;  $f(x) = 1.8^x$
  - e) Yes;  $f(x) = 3(2^x)$
- 14.

- a) linear
  - b) exponential
15. Increase of 7.9% per year is a constant growth rate (the growth factor is 1.079), not a constant rate of change.
- 16.
- a) They assume a constant growth factor.
  - b)  $f(x) = 48(1.257^x)$
  - c) 143,871 people
  - d) No; this represents less than 0.04% of the population.
- 17.
- a)  $f(x) = 10^x$
  - b)  $f(x) = 2(5^x)$
- 18.
- a) 2
  - b) 4
- 19.
- a)  $f(x) = 2(9^x) + 1$
  - b)  $f(x) = 2^x - 1$
  - c)  $f(x) = 3(0.25^x) + 2$
- 20.
- a)  $g(x) = 64^x$
  - b)  $g(x) = \frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{3}^x)$
  - c)  $g(x) = 20(3^x)$
- 21.
- a)  $p(t) = 1,200,000(0.93^t)$
  - b) Every year the population is 93% of the previous year. (Or the population decreases by 7% each year.)
  - c) 281,087; this number is 148,913 *less* than that when we used 0.95 as the decay rate.
22.  $S(t) = 33,500(1.023^t)$
23. \$214.03
- 24.
- a) \$4.07
  - b) \$1.23